arXiv:1603.02064v1 [math.CA] 22 Feb 2016

ON THE INTEGRAL D'ALEMBERT'S AND WILSON'S FUNCTIONAL EQUATIONS

BOUIKHALENE BELAID AND ELQORACHI ELHOUCIEN

Abstract. Let G be a locally compact group, and let K be a compact subgroup of G. Let $\mu : G \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}\backslash\{0\}$ be a character of G. In this paper, we deal with the integral equations

$$W_\mu(K): \quad \int_K f(xkyk^{-1})dk + \mu(y)\int_K f(xky^{-1}k^{-1})dk = 2f(x)g(y),$$

and

$$D_\mu(K): \quad \int_K f(xkyk^{-1})dk + \mu(y)\int_K f(xky^{-1}k^{-1})dk = 2f(x)f(y)$$

for all $x, y \in G$ where $f, g : G \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$, to be determined, are complex continuous functions on G. When $K \subset Z(G)$, the center of G, $D_\mu(K)$ reduces to the new version of d'Almbert's functional equation $f(xy) + \mu(y)f(xy^{-1}) = 2f(x)f(y)$, recently studied by Davison [18] and Stetkær [35]. We derive the following link between the solutions of $W_\mu(K)$ and $D_\mu(K)$ in the following way : If (f, g) is a solution of equation $W_\mu(K)$ such that $C_K f = \int_K f(kxk^{-1})d\omega_K(k) \neq 0$ then g is a solution of $D_\mu(K)$. This result is used to establish the superstability problem of $W_\mu(K)$. In the case where (G, K) is a central pair, we show that the solutions are expressed by means of K-spherical functions and related functions. Also we give explicit formulas of solutions of $D_\mu(K)$ in terms of irreducible representations of G. These formulas generalize Euler's formula $\cos(x) = \frac{e^{ix}+e^{-ix}}{2}$ on $G = \mathbb{R}$.

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

1.1. Throughout this paper, G will be a locally compact group, K be a compact subgroup of G and dk the normalized Haar measure of the compact group K. The unit element of G is denoted by e. The center of G is dented by $Z(G)$. For any function f on G we define the function $\check{f}(x) = f(x^{-1})$ for any $x \in G$. The space of all complex continuous functions on G having compact support is designed by $\mathcal{K}(G)$. We denote by $\mathcal{C}(G)$ the space of all complex continuous functions on G. For each fixed $x \in G$, we define the left translation operator by $(L_x f)(y) = f(x^{-1}y)$ for all $y \in G$.

For a given character $\mu : G \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}\backslash\{0\}$ we consider the following integral equation

$$\int_K f(xkyk^{-1})dk + \mu(y)\int_K f(xky^{-1}k^{-1})dk = 2f(x)g(y), \quad x, y \in G. \tag{1.1}$$

This equation is a generalization of the following functional equations :

$$\int_K f(xkyk^{-1})dk + \mu(y)\int_K f(xky^{-1}k^{-1})dk = 2f(x)f(y), \quad x, y \in G, \tag{1.2}$$

which was studied in [7] when $\mu = 1$ and (G, K) is a central pair.

If $K \subset Z(G)$ the subgroup center of G and $f = g$, (1.1) becomes d'Alembert's

functional equation

$$f(xy)+\mu(y)f(xy^{-1})=2f(x)f(y),\quad x,y\in G. \tag{1.3}$$

In the case where $\mu=1$, many authors studied the functional equation (1.3) (see [3], [15], [16], [17], [26], [29], [30], [31], [32], [33], [34], [35], [36], [37], [38], [49]).
When $f(kxh)=f(x)$ for any $x\in G$ and $k,h\in K$, we obtain the functional equation

$$\int_K f(xky)dk+\int_K f(xky^{-1})dk=2f(x)g(y),\quad x,y\in G. \tag{1.4}$$

If $K\subset Z(G)$, (1.1) reduces to the following version of Wilson's functional equation

$$f(xy)+\mu(y)f(xy^{-1})=2f(x)g(y),\quad x,y\in G. \tag{1.5}$$

IF $K\subset Z(G)$ and $\mu=1$, (1.1) becomes the Wilson's functional equation

$$f(xy)+f(xy^{-1})=2f(x)g(y),\quad x,y\in G. \tag{1.6}$$

If $f(xk)=\overline{\chi(k)}f(x)$, where $x\in G$, $k\in K$ and χ is a unitary character of K we obtain the functional equation

$$\int_K f(xky)\overline{\chi(k)}k+\mu(y)\int_K f(xky^{-1})\overline{\chi(k)}dk=2f(x)g(y),\quad x,y\in G. \tag{1.7}$$

If G is compact we can take $K=G$ and consider the functional equation

$$\int_G f(xtyt^{-1})dt+\mu(y)\int_G f(xty^{-1}t^{-1})dt=2f(x)f(y),\quad x,y\in G. \tag{1.8}$$

The equations (1.4), (1.7) and (1.8) were studied in [1], [7], [9], [10] and [21]. The functional equation (1.6) appeared in several works by H. Stetkær, see for example [31], [32] and [33]. For equation (1.3), we refer to the recent studies by Davison [18] and Stetkær [36].

1.2. **Recall on the central pairs.** For a function f on G, we say that the function f is K-central if $f(kx)=f(xk)$ for all $k\in K$ and for all $x\in G$. We put $\mathcal{K}_K(G)=\{f\in\mathcal{K}(G):f(kx)=f(xk),x\in G,k\in K\}$. Under convolution, denoted $\star$, $\mathcal{K}_K(G)$ is a subalgebra of the algebra $\mathcal{K}(G)$. We recall (see [7]) that the pair (G,K) is said to be a central pair if the algebra $(\mathcal{K}_K(G),\star)$ is commutative.
A non-zero continuous function φ on G is called K-spherical function, if

$$\int_K \varphi(xkyk^{-1})dk=\varphi(x)\varphi(y),x,y\in G. \tag{1.9}$$

for all $x,y\in G$. We will say that a function $f\in\mathcal{C}(G)$ satisfying

$$\int_K f(xkyk^{-1})dk=f(x)\varphi(y)+f(y)\varphi(x),\quad x,y\in G. \tag{1.10}$$

is associated with the K-spherical function φ. Let $C_K:\mathcal{C}(G)\longrightarrow\mathcal{C}(G)$ be the operator given by

$$(C_Kf)(x)=\int_K f(kxk^{-1})dk,\ x\in G.$$

By easy computations we show that f is K-central if and only if $C_Kf=f$. For more results on the operator C_K we refer to [7, Propositions 2.1, Proposition 2.2].
We say that $f\in\mathcal{C}(G)$ satisfies the Kannappan type condition if

$$\int_K\int_K f(zkxk^{-1}hyh^{-1})dkdh=\int_K\int_K f(zkyk^{-1}hxh^{-1})dkdh,\ x,y\in G\quad(*)$$

When $K \subset Z(G)$, $(*)$ reduces to Kannappan condition $f(xyz) = f(yxz)$ for all $x, y, z \in G$ (see [27]).
The results of the present paper are organised as follows : In section 2 we establish relationship between functional equation (1.1) and (1.2). In Theorem 2.3 we show that if (f, g) is a solution of (1.1) such that $f \neq 0$ and $C_K f \neq 0$, without the assumption that f satisfies (*), then g is a solution of (1.2). In section 4 we show that if (G, K) is a central pair and f is a solution of (1.2), then f has the form $f = \frac{\varphi+\mu\check{\varphi}}{2}$ where φ is a K-spherical function. Furthermore we give a complete description of the solutions of equations of (1.1) and (1.2) in the case where (G, K) is a central pair. The solutions are expressed by means of K-spherical functions and solutions of the functional equation

$$\int_K f(xkyk^{-1})dk = f(x)\varphi(y) + f(y)\varphi(x), \quad x, y \in G \tag{1.11}$$

in which φ is a K-spherical function. In Corollaries 4.3 and 4.4 we give explicit formulas of solutions of (1.2) and (1.8) in terms of irreducible representations of G. Theses formulas generalize Euler's formula $\cos(x) = \frac{e^{ix}+e^{-ix}}{2}$ on $G = \mathbb{R}$. In the last section we study stability [48] and Baker's superstability (see [5] and [6]) of the functional equations (1.1), (1.2), (1.3), (1.4), (1.5), (1.6) and (1.7). For more information concerning the stability problem we refer to [3], [5], [6], [11], [12], [22], [40],[41], [42], [43], [44], [45], [46], [47] and [48]. The results of the last sections generalize the ones obtained in [12] and [21].

2. General properties of equations $W_\mu(K)$

In this section we deal with the integral Wilson's functional equation (1.1) on a locally compact group G. We prove, without the assumption that f satisfies (*), that if (f, g) is a solution of Wilson's functional equation (1.1) then g is a solution of d'Alembert's functional equation (1.2).

For later use we need the following proposition

Proposition 2.1. *Let G be a locally compact group. Let $\mu : G \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}\backslash\{0\}$ be a continuous character of G and let $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}(G)$ be a K-spherical function. Then*
i) $\mu\varphi$ is a K-spherical function.
ii) $\frac{\varphi+\mu\check{\varphi}}{2}$ is a solution of (1.2).
iii) Assuming (f, g) is a solution of (1.1) we have : (1) the pair $(L_x f, g)$ for all $x \in G$ is a solution of (1.1), and (2) the pair $(C_K f, g)$ is a solution of (1.1).

Proof. We get i) and ii) by easy computations
iii) Let $x \in G$. For all $y, z \in G$ we have

$$\int_K C_K(L_{x^{-1}}f)(ykzk^{-1})dk + \mu(z)\int_K C_K(L_{x^{-1}}f)(ykz^{-1}k^{-1})dk$$

$$= \int_K\int_K (L_{x^{-1}}f)(hykzk^{-1}h^{-1})dkdh + \mu(z)\int_K\int_K (L_{x^{-1}}f)(hykz^{-1}k^{-1}h^{-1})dkdh$$

$$= \int_K\int_K f(xhykzk^{-1}h^{-1})dkdh + \mu(z)\int_K\int_K f(xhykz^{-1}k^{-1}h^{-1})dkdh$$

$$= \int_K\int_K f(xhk^{-1}ykzh^{-1})dkdh + \mu(z)\int_K\int_K f(xhk^{-1}ykz^{-1}h^{-1})dkdh$$

$$= \int_K \int_K f(xhk^{-1}ykzh^{-1})dkdh + \mu(z) \int_K \int_K f(xhk^{-1}ykz^{-1}h^{-1})dkdh$$
$$= \int_K \int_K f(xk^{-1}ykhzh^{-1})dkdh + \mu(z) \int_K \int_K f(xk^{-1}ykhz^{-1}h^{-1})dkdh$$
$$= \int_K \int_K f(xkyk^{-1}hzh^{-1})dkdh + \mu(z) \int_K \int_K f(xkyk^{-1}hz^{-1}h^{-1})dkdh$$
$$= 2 \int_K f(xkyk^{-1})d\omega_K(k)g(z)$$
$$= 2 \int_K (L_{x^{-1}}f)(kyk^{-1})dkg(z)$$
$$= 2C_K(L_{x^{-1}}f)(y)g(z).$$

□

Proposition 2.2. *Let G be a locally compact group. Let $\mu : G \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}\backslash\{0\}$ be a character of G and let $f, g \in \mathcal{C}(G)$ such that $f \neq 0$ be a solution of (1.1). Then*
i) g is K-central.
ii) $g(x) = \mu(x)g(x^{-1})$ for all $x \in G$.
iii) If f is K-central with $f(e) = 0$, then $f(x) = -\mu(x)f(x^{-1})$ for all $x \in G$.
iv) $g(e) = 1$.

Proof. i) by easy computations.
ii) let $a \in G$ such that $f(a) \neq 0$, then for any $y \in G$ we have

$$\int_K f(aky^{-1}k^{-1})dk + \mu(y^{-1}) \int_K f(akyk^{-1})dk = 2f(a)g(y^{-1}). \tag{2.1}$$

Multiplying (2.1) by $\mu(y)$ and using the fact that $\mu(yy^{-1}) = 1$ we get for all $y \in G$

$$\begin{aligned} 2f(a)\mu(y)g(y^{-1}) &= \int_K f(akyk^{-1})k + \mu(y) \int_K f(aky^{-1}k^{-1})dk \\ &= 2f(a)g(y) \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $g(y) = \mu(y)g(y^{-1})$ for any $y \in G$.
iii) since f is a solution of (1.1) we get by setting $x = e$ in (1.1) that

$$\int_K f(kyk^{-1})dk + \mu(y) \int_K f(ky^{-1}k^{-1})dk = 2f(e)g(y).$$

Since f is K-central and $f(e) = 0$ we get for all $y \in G$ that $f(y) + \mu(y)f(y^{-1}) = 0$.
By easy computations we get the remainder. □

The next theorem is the main result of this section. We establish a relation between Wilson's functional equation (1.1) and d'Alembert's functional equation (1.2) on a locally compact group G, without the assumption that f satisfies $(*)$.

Theorem 2.3. *Let G be a locally compact group. Let $f, g \in \mathcal{C}(G)$ be a solution of Wilson's functional equation (1.1) such that $C_K f \neq 0$. Then g is a solution of d'Alembert's functional equation (1.2).*

Proof. By getting ideas from [13] and [36], and [19] we discuss the following possibilities :
The first possibility is $f(x) = -\mu(x)f(x^{-1})$ for all $x \in G$. We let $x \in G$ and we put

$$\Phi_x(y) = \int_K g(xkyk^{-1})dk + \mu(y)\int_K g(y^{-1}kxk^{-1})dk - 2g(x)g(y),\ y \in G.$$

According to Proposition 2.1 and the fact that (f, g) is a solution of (1.1) we get for any $x, y, z \in G$ that

$$2f(z)\Phi_x(y) + 2f(y)\Phi_x(z) = 2f(z)[\int_K g(xkyk^{-1})dk$$
$$+\mu(y)\int_K g(y^{-1}kxk^{-1})dk - 2g(x)g(y)] + 2f(y)[\int_K g(xkzk^{-1})dk$$
$$+\mu(z)\int_K g(z^{-1}kxk^{-1})dk - 2g(x)g(z)] = \int_K\int_K f(zhxkyk^{-1}h^{-1})dkdh$$
$$+\mu(xy)\int_K\int_K f(zhy^{-1}kx^{-1}k^{-1}h^{-1})dkdh$$
$$+\mu(y)[\int_K\int_K f(zhy^{-1}kxk^{-1}h^{-1})dkdh + \mu(y^{-1}x)\int_K\int_K f(zhx^{-1}kyk^{-1}h^{-1})dkdh]$$
$$\int_K\int_K f(yhxkzk^{-1}h^{-1})dkdh + \mu(xz)\int_K\int_K f(yhz^{-1}kx^{-1}k^{-1}h^{-1})dkdh$$
$$+\mu(z)[\int_K\int_K f(yhz^{-1}kxk^{-1}h^{-1})dkdh+$$
$$\mu(z^{-1}x)\int_K\int_K f(yhx^{-1}kzk^{-1}h^{-1})dkdh]$$
$$-\int_K\int_K f(zhxkyk^{-1}h^{-1})dkdh$$
$$-\mu(y)\int_K\int_K f(zhxky^{-1}k^{-1}h^{-1})dkdh - \mu(x)[\int_K\int_K f(zhx^{-1}kyk^{-1}h^{-1})dkdh$$
$$+\mu(y)\int_K\int_K f(zhx^{-1}ky^{-1}k^{-1}h^{-1})dkdh] - \int_K\int_K f(yhxkzk^{-1}h^{-1})dkdh$$
$$-\mu(z)\int_K\int_K f(yhxkz^{-1}k^{-1}h^{-1})dkdh - \mu(x)[\int_K\int_K f(yhx^{-1}kzk^{-1}h^{-1})dkdh$$
$$+\mu(z)\int_K\int_K f(yhx^{-1}kz^{-1}k^{-1}h^{-1})dkdh] = \mu(x)\mu(y)\int_K\int_K f(zhy^{-1}kx^{-1}k^{-1}h^{-1})dkdh$$
$$+\mu(y)\int_K\int_K f(zhy^{-1}kxk^{-1}h^{-1})dkdh + \mu(xz)\int_K\int_K f(yhz^{-1}kx^{-1}k^{-1}h^{-1})dkdh$$
$$+\mu(z)\int_K\int_K f(yhz^{-1}kxk^{-1}h^{-1})dkdh - \mu(y)\int_K\int_K f(zhxky^{-1}k^{-1}h^{-1})dkdh$$
$$-\mu(x)\mu(y)\int_K\int_K f(zhx^{-1}ky^{-1}k^{-1}h^{-1})dkdh - \mu(z)\int_K\int_K f(yhxkz^{-1}k^{-1}h^{-1})dkdh$$
$$-\mu(x)\mu(z)\int_K\int_K f(yhx^{-1}kz^{-1}k^{-1}h^{-1})dkdh$$
$$= 2\mu(y)\int_K f(zky^{-1}k^{-1})g(x)dk + 2\mu(z)\int_K f(ykz^{-1}k^{-1})g(x)dk$$
$$-\mu(z)\int_K\int_K f(yhxkz^{-1}k^{-1}h^{-1})dkdh - \mu(x)\mu(y)\int_K\int_K f(zhx^{-1}ky^{-1}k^{-1}h^{-1})dkdh$$

$$-\mu(y)\int_K\int_K f(zhxky^{-1}k^{-1}h^{-1})dkdh-\mu(x)\mu(z)\int_K\int_K f(yhx^{-1}kz^{-1}k^{-1}h^{-1})dkdh$$
$$= 2\mu(y)g(x)\int_K f(zky^{-1}k^{-1})dk - 2\mu(z)g(x)\mu(yz^{-1})\int_K f(zky^{-1}k^{-1})dk$$
$$-\mu(x)\mu(y)\int_K\int_K f(zhx^{-1}ky^{-1}k^{-1}h^{-1})dkdh$$
$$+\mu(z)\mu(yxz^{-1})\int_K\int_K f(zhx^{-1}ky^{-1}k^{-1}h^{-1})dkdh$$
$$+\mu(y)\mu(zxy^{-1})\int_K\int_K f(yhx^{-1}kz^{-1}k^{-1}h^{-1})dkdh$$
$$-\mu(x)\mu(z)\int_K\int_K f(yhx^{-1}kz^{-1}k^{-1}h^{-1})dkdh =$$
$$0.$$

Then for any $x \in G$ we have

$$f(z)\Phi_x(y) + f(y)\Phi_x(z) = 0,\ x, y \in G. \tag{2.2}$$

Since $f \neq 0$, then there exists $a \in G$ such that $f(a) = 0$. According to (2.2) we get that $f(a)\Phi_x(y) + f(y)\Phi_x(a) = 0$ and then $\Phi_x(y) = -\frac{\Phi_x(a)}{f(a)}f(y) = c_x f(y)$ for any $y \in G$. By setting $y = a$ in (2.2) we get that $c_x f(a)^2 = 0$. This implies that $c_x = 0$ and then $\Phi_x = 0$ for any $x \in G$. According to Proposition 2.2 we get we get by using the fact that $\Phi_x(y) = 0$ for any $x, y \in G$ that

$$\begin{aligned} 2g(x)g(y) &= \int_K g(xkyk^{-1})dk + \mu(y)\int_K g(y^{-1}xkxk^{-1})dk \\ &= \mu(x)\mu(y)\int_K g(y^{-1}kx^{-1}k^{-1})dk + \mu(y)\int_K g(y^{-1}kxk^{-1})dk \\ &= \mu(y)[\int_K g(y^{-1}kxk^{-1})dk + \mu(x)\int_K g(y^{-1}kx^{-1}k^{-1})dk]. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\int_K g(y^{-1}kxk^{-1})dk + \mu(x)\int_K g(y^{-1}kx^{-1}k^{-1})dk = 2g(x)\mu(y^{-1})g(y) = 2g(y^{-1})g(x).$$

This completes the proof in the first possibility.
Now we fix g and we consider

$$W_g = \{f \in \mathcal{C}(G) : f \text{ is } K-\text{central, satisfies (1.1) and } f(e) = 0\}.$$

If $W_g \neq \{0\}$, then by using the above computations we get the desired result, so we may assume $W_g = \{0\}$. Let $f \in \mathcal{C}(G) \setminus \{0\}$ be a solution of (1.1) such that $C_K f \neq 0$. According to Proposition 2.1 it follows that $C_K f$ is a solution of (1.1). Since $W_g = \{0\}$ and $C_K(C_K f) = C_K f$ then $C_K f(e) = f(e) \neq 0$. Replacing $C_K f$ by $\frac{C_K f}{C_K f(e)}$, we may assume that $C_K f(e) = 1$. Let h be a solution of (1.1), then $C_K h - (C_K h)(e)C_K f \in W_g = \{0\}$. So $C_K h = (C_K h)(e)C_K f$. According to Proposition 2.1 we have for any $x \in G$ that $C_K(L_{x^{-1}}C_K f)(y) = \int_K f(xkyk^{-1})dk$ for any $y \in G$ is a solution of (1.1) and that $C_K(C_K(L_{x^{-1}}C_K f)) = C_K(L_{x^{-1}}C_K f)$. So that $C_K(L_{x^{-1}}C_K f) = C_K(L_{x^{-1}}C_K f)(e)C_K f = C_K f(x)C_K f$. Then $\int_K C_K f(xkyk^{-1})dk = C_K(L_{x^{-1}}C_K f)(y) = C_K f(x)C_K f(y)$, which show that

$C_K f$ is a K-spherical function i.e. $\int_K C_K f(xkyk^{-1})dk = C_K f(x)C_K f(y)$ for all $x, y \in G$. Substituting this result into

$$\int_K C_K f(xkyk^{-1})dk + \mu(y)\int_K C_K f(xky^{-1}k^{-1})dk = 2C_K f(x)g(y),\ x, y \in G$$

we get $g(y) = \frac{C_K f(y)+\mu(y)C_K f(y^{-1})}{2}$. According to Proposition 2.1 ii) we get that g satisfies equation (2.1). This finishes the proof of theorem. □

3. Study of integral Wilson's functional equation $W_\mu(K)$ on a central pair

Let $f : G \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$. For $x \in G$ we define

$$f_x(y) = \int_K f(xkyk^{-1})dk - f(x)f(y),\ y \in G. \tag{3.1}$$

When f is K-spherical, then $f_x \equiv 0$. A generalized symmetrized sine addition law is given by

$$\int_K \omega(xkyk^{-1})dk + \int_K \omega(ykxk^{-1})dk = 2\omega(x)f(y) + 2\omega(y)f(x),\ x, y \in G \tag{3.2}$$

For later use we need the following results :

Proposition 3.1. *([7]) Let (G, K) be a central pair and let $f \in \mathcal{C}(G)$. Then we have*
i) f satisfies the Kannappan type condition $(*)$.
ii) If f is K-central, then

$$\int_K f(xkyk^{-1})dk = \int_K f(ykxk^{-1})dk,\ x, y \in G.$$

As an immediate consequence we get the following corollary

Corollary 3.2. *Let (G, K) be a central pair and let $\omega \in \mathcal{C}(G)$. If ω is K-central then (3.2) reduces to the generalized sine addition formula*

$$\int_K \omega(xkyk^{-1})dk = \omega(x)f(y) + \omega(y)f(x),\ x, y \in G. \tag{3.3}$$

Proposition 3.3. *Let $f \in \mathcal{C} \setminus \{0\}$ be a solution of the functional equation (1.2). Then*
i) $f(e) = 1$,
ii) f is K-central,
iii) $f(x) = \mu(x)f(x^{-1})$ for all $x \in G$,
iv)

$$\int_K f(xkyk^{-1})dk = \int_K f(ykxk^{-1})dk,\ x, y \in G.$$

v) For any $x \in G$, (f_x, f) is a solution of (3.2).

Proof. i) By setting $y = e$ in (1.2) and by using the fact that $f \neq 0$ we get that $f(e) = 1$.

ii) For any $x, y \in G$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2f(x)\int_K f(kyk^{-1})dk &= \int_K 2f(x)f(kyk^{-1})dk \\ &= \int_K (\int_K f(xhkyk^{-1}h^{-1})dh \\ &+ \mu(y)\int_K f(xhky^{-1}k^{-1}h^{-1})dhdk \\ &= \int_K f(xhyh^{-1})dh + \mu(y)\int_K f(xhy^{-1}h^{-1})dh \\ &= 2f(x)f(y). \end{aligned}$$

So that $f(y) = \int_K f(kyk^{-1})dk$ for all $y \in G$, from which we get that f is K-central.
iii) Since f is K-central we get by putting $x = e$ in (1.2) that $f(y) + \mu(y)f(y^{-1}) = 2f(y)$ for all $y \in G$. Hence $f(y) = \mu(y)f(y^{-1})$ for all $y \in G$.
iv) In view of iii) we have for all $x, y \in G$ that

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_K f(xkyk^{-1})dk + \mu(y)\int_K f(xky^{-1}k^{-1})dk \\ = \;& 2f(x)f(y) \\ = \;& 2f(y)f(x) \\ = \;& \int_K f(ykxk^{-1})dk + \mu(x)\int_K f(ykx^{-1}k^{-1})dk \\ = \;& \int_K f(ykxk^{-1})dk + \mu(y)\int_K f(xky^{-1}k^{-1})dk. \end{aligned}$$

So that we get $\int_K f(ykxk^{-1})dk = \int_K f(xkyk^{-1})dk$ for all $x, y \in G$.
v) In the next we adapt the method used in [35]. According to (1.2) we get for any x, y, z that

$$\int_K \int_K f(xkyk^{-1}hzh^{-1})dkdh + \tag{3.4}$$

$$\mu(z)\int_K \int_K f(xkyk^{-1}hz^{-1}h^{-1})dkdh = 2\int_K f(xkyk^{-1})dkf(z),$$

$$\int_K \int_K f(xhykz^{-1}k^{-1}h^{-1})dkdh + \tag{3.5}$$

$$\mu(yz^{-1})\int_K \int_K f(xhkzk^{-1}y^{-1}h^{-1})dkdh = 2f(x)\int_K f(ykz^{-1}k^{-1})dk,$$

$$\int_K \int_K f(xkzk^{-1}hy^{-1}h^{-1})dkdh + \tag{3.6}$$

$$\mu(y^{-1})\int_K \int_K f(xkzk^{-1}hyh^{-1})dkdh = 2\int_K f(xkzk^{-1})dkf(y^{-1})$$

from which we get by multiplying (3.5) by $\mu(z)$ and (3.6) by $\mu(y)$

$$\int_K \int_K f(xkyk^{-1}hzh^{-1})dkdh + \mu(z)\int_K \int_K f(xkyk^{-1}hz^{-1}h^{-1})dkdh$$

$$= 2\int_K f(xkyk^{-1})dkf(z),$$

$$\mu(z)\int_K\int_K f(xhykz^{-1}k^{-1}h^{-1})dkdh+\mu(y)\int_K\int_K f(xhkzk^{-1}y^{-1}h^{-1})dkdh$$
$$=2\mu(z)f(x)\int_K f(ykz^{-1}k^{-1})dk,$$
$$\mu(y)\int_K\int_K f(xkzk^{-1}hy^{-1}h^{-1})dkdh+\int_K\int_K f(xkzk^{-1}hyh^{-1})dkdh$$
$$=2\mu(y)\int_K f(xkzk^{-1})dkf(y^{-1}).$$

By subtracting the middle one from the sum of the two others we get

$$\int_K\int_K f(xkyk^{-1}hzh^{-1})dkdh+\int_K\int_K f(xkzk^{-1}hyh^{-1})dkdh$$
$$=2\int_K f(xkyk^{-1})dkf(z)+2\mu(y)\int_K f(xkzk^{-1})dkf(y^{-1})$$
$$-2\mu(z)f(x)\int_K f(ykz^{-1}k^{-1})dk.$$

Using the fact that $f(y)=\mu(y)f(y^{-1})$ for any $y\in G$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_K\int_K f(xkyk^{-1}hzh^{-1})dhdk-f(x)\int_K f(ykzk^{-1})dk\\
+\;&\int_K\int_K f(xkzk^{-1}hyh^{-1})dhdk-f(x)\int_K f(zkyk^{-1})dk\\
=\;&2\int_K f(xkyk^{-1})dkf(z)-2f(x)\int_K f(yhzk^{-1})dh\\
+\;&2\int_K f(xkzk^{-1})dkf(y)-2f(x)[2f(y)f(z)-\int_K f(yhzk^{-1})dh]\\
=\;&2\int_K f(xkyk^{-1})dkf(z)-2f(x)f(y)f(z)\\
+\;&2\int_K f(xkzk^{-1})dkf(y)-2f(x)f(y)f(z).
\end{aligned}$$

So we have for any $x,y,z\in G$ that

$$\int_K f_x(ykzk^{-1})dk+\int_K f_x(zkyk^{-1})dk=2f_x(y)f(z)+2f_x(z)f(y).$$

Hence for all $x\in G$, the pair (f_x,f) is a solution of (3.2). □

Theorem 3.4. *Let $f,g\in\mathcal{C}(G)$ be a solution of the functional equation*

$$\int_K f(xkyk^{-1})dk=f(x)g(y)+g(x)f(y),\ x,y\in G. \tag{3.7}$$

Then one of the following statements hold
i) $f=0$ and g arbitrary in $\mathcal{C}(G)$.
ii) There exists a K-spherical function φ and a non-zero constant $c\in\mathbb{C}^*$ such that

$$g=\frac{\varphi}{2},\ f=c\varphi.$$

iii) There exist two K-spherical functions φ, ψ for which $\varphi\neq\psi$ and a non-zero constant $c\in\mathbb{C}^*$ such that

$$g=\frac{\varphi+\psi}{2},\ f=c(\varphi-\psi).$$

iv) g is a K-spherical function and f is associated to g.

Proof. The proof is similar to one used in [20]. □

4. Solution of equation $W_\mu(K)$ on a central pair

In this section we obtain solution of equation (1.1) in the case where (G, K) is a central pair.

In the next theorem we solve the functional equation (1.2). We will adapt the method used in [35].

Theorem 4.1. *Let (G, K) be a central pair. Let $\mu : G \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ be a character on G and let $f : G \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a non-zero solution of the functional equation (2.1). Then there exists a K-spherical function $\varphi : G \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $f = \frac{\varphi+\mu\check{\varphi}}{2}$.*

Proof. By using 5i) in Proposition 3.3 we get for all $x \in G$ that the pair (f_x, f) is a solution of (3.2).
First case : There exists $x \in G$ such that $f_x \neq 0$. According to iii) in Theorem 3.4, there exist two K-spherical functions φ and ψ such that $\varphi \neq \psi$ and that $f = \frac{\varphi+\psi}{2}$. By substituting this in (1.2) we get for all $x, y \in G$ that

$$\varphi(x)[\mu(y)\varphi(y^{-1}) - \psi(y)] + \psi(x)[\mu(y)\psi(y^{-1}) - \varphi(y)] = 0.$$

Since $\varphi \neq \psi$, according to [19] we get that φ and ψ are linearly independent. Hence $\psi(y) = \mu(y)\varphi(y^{-1})$ for any $y \in G$.
By iv) of Theorem 3.4 we get by a small computation the desired result.
Second case : if $f_x = 0$, for all $x \in G$ then $\int_K f(xkyk^{-1})d\omega_K(k) = f(x)f(y)$ for all $x, y \in G$. Then by subsisting f in (1.2) we get that $f(x) = \mu(x)f(x^{-1})$ for all $x \in G$. Hence $f = \varphi = \frac{\varphi+\mu\check{\varphi}}{2}$ where φ is K-spherical function. □

In the next theorem we solve the functional equation (1.1).

Theorem 4.2. *Let (G, K) be a central pair. If (f, g) is a solution of (1.1) such that $C_K f \neq 0$, then there exists a K-spherical function φ such that*
1)

$$g = \frac{\varphi + \mu\check{\varphi}}{2}.$$

2)
i) When $\varphi \neq \mu\check{\varphi}$, then there exist $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$f = \alpha\frac{\varphi + \mu\check{\varphi}}{2} + \beta\frac{\varphi - \mu\check{\varphi}}{2}.$$

ii) When $\varphi = \mu\check{\varphi}$, then $f = \alpha\varphi + l$ where $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ and l is a solution of the functional equation

$$\int_K l(xkyk^{-1})dk = l(x)\varphi(y) + \varphi(x)l(y),\ x, y \in G. \tag{4.1}$$

Proof. Let $f, g \in \mathcal{C}(G) \setminus \{0\}$ be a solution of (1.2), then by Theorem 2.3 we get that g is a solution of (1.2). According to Theorem 4.1 there exists a K-spherical

function such that $g(x) = \frac{\varphi(x)+\mu(x)\varphi(x^{-1})}{2}$ for any $x \in G$. By decomposing f in the following way we get for any $x \in G$ that

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= \frac{f(x)+\mu(x)f(x^{-1})}{2} + \frac{f(x)-\mu(x)f(x^{-1})}{2} \\ &= f_1(x) + f_2(x) \end{aligned}$$

where $f_1(x) = \frac{f(x)+\mu(x)f(x^{-1})}{2}$ and $f_2(x) = \frac{f(x)-\mu(x)f(x^{-1})}{2}$ for all $x \in G$. By easy computations we get that $f_1(x) = \mu(x)f_1(x^{-1})$ and $f_2(x) = -\mu(x)f_2(x^{-1})$ for all $x \in G$. By using the fact that $\int_K f(xkyk^{-1})dk = \int_K f(ykxk^{-1})dk$ for all $x, y \in G$ we get that

$$\int_K f_1(xkyk^{-1})dk + \mu(y)\int_K f_1(xky^{-1}k^{-1})dk = 2f_1(x)g(y), \quad x, y \in G. \tag{4.2}$$

By setting $x = e$ in (4.3) we get that $f_1(y) = f_1(e)g(y) = \alpha g(y)$ for any $y \in G$. On the other hand by small computations we show that f_2 is a solution of the functional equation

$$\int_K f_2(xkyk^{-1})dk = f_2(x)g(y) + f_2(y)g(x), \quad x, y \in G. \tag{4.3}$$

According to iii) and iv) in Theorem 3.4 we get the remainder. □

In the next corollary we use R. Godement's spherical functions theory [27] to give explicit formulas in terms of irreducible representations of G : Let $(\pi, \mathcal{H})$ be a completely irreducible representation of G, δ be an irreducible representation of K and χ_δ the normalized character of δ. The set of vectors in $\mathcal{H}$ which under $k \longrightarrow \pi(k)$ transform according to δ is denoted by $\mathcal{H}_\delta$. The operator $E(\delta) = \int_K \pi(k)\overline{\chi_\delta}(k)dk$ is a continuous projection of $\mathcal{H}$ onto $\mathcal{H}_\delta$.

We will say that a function f is quasi-bounded if there exists a semi-norm $\rho(x)$ such that $\sup_{x\in G} \frac{|f(x)|}{\rho(x)} < +\infty$ (see [27]). According to [7, Theorem 4.1, Theorem 6.2] we have the following corollary

Corollary 4.3. *Let (G, K) be a central pair. Let f be a non-zero quasi-bounded continuous function on G satisfying $\chi_\delta \star f = f$. Then f is a solution of (1.2) if and only if there exists a completely irreducible representation $(\pi, \mathcal{H})$ of G such that*

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{2dim(\delta)}(tr(E(\delta)\pi(x)) + \mu(x)tr(E(\delta)\pi(x^{-1})),\ x \in G$$

where tr is the trace on $\mathcal{H}$ and $dim(\delta)$ is the dimension of δ.

In the next corollary we assume that G is a compact. Then (G, G) is a central pair (see [7] and [9]).

Corollary 4.4. *Let G be a compact group and let f be a continuous function on G. Then f is is a solution of (1.8) if and only if there exists a continuous irreducible representation $(\pi, \mathcal{H})$ such that*

$$f(x) = \frac{\chi_\pi(x) + \mu(x)\chi_\pi(x^{-1})}{2d(\pi)},\ x \in G$$

where χ_π and $d(\pi)$ are respectively the character and the dimension of π.

5. Superstablity of $W_\mu(K)$ on a locally compact group

In this section, by using Theorem 2.4, we study the superstability problem of equations $W_\mu(K)$ and $D_\mu(K)$ on non abelian case

Lemma 5.1. *Let $\delta > 0$. Let $\mu : G \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a unitary character of G. let $f, g \in \mathcal{C}(G)$ such that f is unbounded and (f, g) is a solution of the inequality*

$$|\int_K f(xkyk^{-1})d\omega_K(k) + \mu(y)\int_K f(xky^{-1}k^{-1})dk - 2f(x)g(y)| \leq \delta, \;\; x, y \in G. \tag{5.1}$$

Then
i) For all $x \in G$, $(C_K(L_{x^{-1}}f), g)$ is a solution of the inequality (5.1).
ii) $g(y) = \mu(y)g(y^{-1})$ for all $y \in G$.
iii) g is K central.

Proof. i) and iii) by easy computation.
ii) Since (f, g) is a solution of (5.1), then we get for any $x, y \in G$

$$|\int_K f(xkyk^{-1})dk + \mu(y)\int_K f(xky^{-1}k^{-1})dk - 2f(x)g(y)| \leq \delta$$

and

$$|\int_K f(xky^{-1}k^{-1})dk + \mu(y^{-1})\int_K f(xkyk^{-1})dk - 2f(x)g(y^{-1})| \leq \delta.$$

By multiplying the last inequality by $\mu(y)$ we get that

$$|\mu(y)\int_K f(xky^{-1}k^{-1})dk + \int_K f(xkyk^{-1})dk - 2f(x)\mu(y)g(y^{-1})| \leq \delta.$$

By triangle inequality we get

$$|2f(x)||g(y) - \mu(y)g(y^{-1})| \leq 2\delta$$

for all $y \in G$. Since f is unbounded we get that $g(y) = \mu(y)g(y^{-1})$ for all $y \in G$. □

Lemma 5.2. *Let $\delta > 0$. Let $\mu : G \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ be a unitary character of G. Let $f, g \in \mathcal{C}(G)$ such that g is unbounded solution of inequality (5.1). Then g is a solution of d'Alembert's functional equation (1.2).*

Proof. By using the same method as in [14, Corollary 2.7 iii] we get that g is a solution of (1.2) □

Lemma 5.3. *Let $\delta > 0$. Let $\mu : G \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ be a unitary character of G. Let $f, g \in \mathcal{C}(G)$ such that f is unbounded solution of inequality (5.1) and that the function $x \longrightarrow f(x) + \mu(x)f(x^{-1})$ is bounded and $C_K f \neq 0$. Then g is a solution of d'Alembert's functional equation (1.2).*

Proof. First case: g is bounded. Let

$$\psi(x, y) = \int_K g(xkyk^{-1})dk + \mu(y)\int_K g(y^{-1}kxk^{-1})dk - 2g(x)g(y), \;\; x, y \in G.$$

Then according to the proof of Theorem 2.4 we get for all $x, y, z \in G$ that

$$2f(z)\psi(x, y) + 2f(y)\psi(x, z) = 2g(x)[\int_K f(zkyk^{-1})dk + \int_K f(ykz^{-1}k^{-1})dk]$$

$$-\mu(z)\int_K\int_K f(yhxkz^{-1}k^{-1}h^{-1})dkdh - \mu(x)\mu(y)\int_K\int_K f(zhx^{-1}ky^{-1}k^{-1}h^{-1})dkdh$$

$$-\mu(y)\int_K\int_K f(zhxky^{-1}k^{-1}h^{-1})dkdh-\mu(x)\mu(z)\int_K\int_K f(yhx^{-1}kz^{-1}k^{-1}h^{-1})dkdh.$$

Since the function $x\longrightarrow f(x)+\mu(x)f(x^{-1})$ is bounded, then there exists $\beta>0$ such that $|f(x)+\mu(x)f(x^{-1})|\leq\beta$ for all $x\in G$. So that we get for any $x,y,z\in G$ that

$$|2f(z)\psi(x,y)+2f(y)\psi(x,z)|\leq 2\beta(1+|g(x)|). \tag{5.2}$$

Since f is unbounded, then there exists a sequence $(z_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ such that $\lim_{n\longrightarrow\infty}|f(z_n)|=+\infty$. By using the inequality (5.2), it follows that there exists $c_x\in\mathbb{C}$ such that $\psi(x,y)=c_xf(y)$ for all $x,y\in G$. So that the function $(x,y,z)\longrightarrow 2f(z)c_xf(y)+2f(y)c_xf(z)$ is bounded. Since f is unbounded it follows that $c_x=0$ for all $x\in G$. As in the proof of Theorem 2.4, we get that g is a solution of functional equation (1.2).
Second case: g is unbounded. According to lemma 5.2 we get that g is a solution of (1.2). □

Lemma 5.4. *Let $\delta>0$. Let $\mu:G\longrightarrow\mathbb{C}^*$ be a unitary character of G. Let $f,g\in\mathcal{C}(G)$ such that f is an unbounded solution of inequality (5.1). Then g is a solution of d'Alembert's long functional equation*

$$\int_K g(xkyk^{-1})dk+\mu(y)\int_K g(xky^{-1}k^{-1})dk+\int_K g(ykxk^{-1})dk+\mu(y)\int_K g(y^{-1}kxk^{-1})dk=4g(x)g(y) \tag{5.3}$$

for all $x,y\in G$.

Proof. For all $x,y,z\in G$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&2|f(z)|\Big|\int_K g(xkyk^{-1})dk+\mu(y)\int_K g(xky^{-1}k^{-1})dk+\int_K g(ykxk^{-1})dk\\
&\qquad+\mu(y)\int_K g(y^{-1}kxk^{-1})dk-4g(x)g(y)\Big|\\
&\leq\Big|\int_K\int_K f(zhxkyk^{-1}h^{-1})dkdh+\mu(xy)\int_K\int_K f(zhy^{-1}kx^{-1}k^{-1}h^{-1})dkdh\\
&\qquad-2f(z)\int_K g(xkyk^{-1})dk\Big|\\
&+\Big|\mu(y)\int_K\int_K f(zhx^{-1}kyk^{-1}h^{-1})dkdh+\mu(x)\int_K\int_K f(zhykx^{-1}k^{-1}h^{-1})dkdh\\
&\qquad-2\mu(y)f(z)\int_K g(xky^{-1}k^{-1})dk\Big|\\
&+\Big|\int_K\int_K f(zhykxk^{-1}h^{-1})dkdh+\mu(yx)\int_K\int_K f(zhx^{-1}ky^{-1}k^{-1}h^{-1})dkdh\\
&\qquad-2f(z)\int_K g(ykxk^{-1})dk\Big|\\
&+\Big|\mu(y)\int_K\int_K f(zhy^{-1}kxk^{-1}h^{-1})dkdh+\mu(x)\int_K\int_K f(zhx^{-1}kyk^{-1}h^{-1})dkdh\\
&\qquad-2\mu(y)f(z)\int_K g(y^{-1}kxk^{-1})dk\Big|
\end{aligned}$$

$$+\int_K\int_K f(zhxky^{-1}k^{-1}h^{-1})dkdh+\mu(y)\int_K\int_K f(zhxky^{-1}k^{-1}h^{-1})dkdh$$
$$-2\int_K f(zkxk^{-1})dkg(y)|$$
$$+\int_K\int_K f(zhykxk^{-1}h^{-1})dkdh+\mu(x)\int_K\int_K f(zhyh^{-1}kx^{-1}k^{-1})dkdh$$
$$-2\int_K f(zkyk^{-1})dkg(x)|$$
$$+|\mu(y)\int_K\int_K f(zhy^{-1}h^{-1}kxk^{-1})dkdh+\mu(y)\mu(x)\int_K\int_K f(zhy^{-1}h^{-1}kx^{-1}k^{-1}h^{-1})dkdh$$
$$-2\mu(y)\int_K f(zky^{-1}k^{-1})dkg(x)|$$
$$+|\mu(x)\int_K\int_K f(zhx^{-1}h^{-1}kyk^{-1})dkdh+\mu(y)\mu(x)\int_K\int_K f(zhx^{-1}h^{-1}ky^{-1}k^{-1}h^{-1})dkdh$$
$$-2\mu(x)\int_K f(zkx^{-1}k^{-1})dkg(y)|$$
$$+2|g(y)||\int_K f(zkxk^{-1})dk+\mu(x)\int_K f(zkx^{-1}k^{-1})dk-2f(z)g(x)|$$
$$+2|g(x)||\int_K f(zkyk^{-1})dk+\mu(y)\int_K f(zky^{-1}k^{-1})dk-2f(z)g(y)|$$
$$\leq(8+|g(y)|+|g(x))\delta.$$

Since f is bounded we conclude that g is solution of functional equation (5.3). □

In the next corollary we assume that K is a discrete subgroup of G

Lemma 5.5. *Let $\delta>0$. Let $\mu: G\longrightarrow\mathbb{C}$ be a unitary character of G. Let $f,g\in\mathcal{C}(G)$ such that f is an unbounded K-central solution of the inequality (5.1) such that $C_Kf\neq 0$. Then g is a solution of d'Alembert's functional equation (1.2).*

Proof. Since (f,g) is a solution of the inequality (5.1) we get according to lemma 5.1 that (C_Kf,g) is also a solution of (5.1). By setting $x=e$ in (5.1) and by the fact that C_Kf is K-central it follows that

$$|C_Kf(y)+\mu(y)C_Kf(y^{-1})-2f(e)g(y)|\leq\delta,\ y\in G.$$

If $f(e)=0$ we get that the function $y\longrightarrow C_Kf(y)+\mu(y)C_Kf(y^{-1})$ is bounded. According to Lemma 5.3 we have that g is a solution of d'Alembert's functional equation (1.2).
If $f(e)\neq 0$. Replacing C_Kf by $\frac{C_Kf}{f(e)}$ we may assume that $f(e)=1$. Consider the function $C_K(L_af)(x)=\int_K f(akxk^{-1})d\omega_K(k)$ for all $x\in G$. According to lemma 5.1 we get that $(C_K(L_af),g)$ is a solution of (5.1). Let $a\in G$ such that

$$h=C_K(L_af)-C_K(L_af)(e)C_Kf.$$

If there exists $a\in G$ such that h is unbounded on G. Since $h(e)=0$ and $C_Kh=h$ and that the function h is a solution of the inequality (5.1) it follows that $x\longrightarrow h(x)+\mu(x)h(x^{-1})$ is bounded. According to lemma 5.3 we get that g is a solution d'Alembert's functional equation (1.2).
Now assume that h is bounded, that is there exists $M(x)>0$ such that

$$|\int_K f(xkyk^{-1})dk-f(x)C_Kf(y)|\leq M(x)$$

for all $x, y \in G$. Since f is K-central we get for all $x, y \in G$ that

$$|\int_K f(xkyk^{-1})dk - f(x)f(y)| \leq M(x).$$

By using triangle inequality we get for all $x, y, z \in G$ that

$$|f(z)||\int_K f(xkyk^{-1})dk - f(x)f(y)|$$

$$\leq |-\int_K\int_K f(xkyk^{-1}hzh^{-1})kdh + \int_K f(xkyk^{-1})dkf(z)|$$

$$+|\int_K\int_K f(xkyk^{-1}hzh^{-1})dkdh - f(x)\int_K f(ykzk^{-1})dk|$$

$$+|f(x)||\int_K f(ykzk^{-1})dk - f(y)f(z)|$$

$$\leq \int_K M(xkyk^{-1})dk + M(x) + |f(x)|M(y)|.$$

Since f is unbounded it follows that $\int_K f(xkyk^{-1})dk - f(x)f(y)$ for all $x, y \in G$. Substituting this result into inequality (5.1) it follows that

$$|f(x)||f(y) + \mu(y)f(y^{-1}) - 2g(y)| \leq \delta$$

for all $x, y \in G$. Since f is unbounded we get that $g(y) = \frac{f(y)+\mu(y)f(y^{-1})}{2}$ for all $y \in G$. According to Proposition 2.1 we get that g is a solution of d'Alembert's functional equation (1.2). □

The next theorem is the main result of this section

Theorem 5.6. *Let $\delta > 0$ be fixed, μ be a unitary character of G and let $f, g : G \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that (f, g) satisfies (5.1) and f is K-central. Then*
1) f, g are bounded or
2) f is unbounded and g satisfies d'Alembert's functional equation (1.2) or
3) g is unbounded and f satisfies the functional equation (1.1) (if $f \neq 0$ such that $C_K f \neq 0$, then g satisfies the d'Alembert's functional equation (1.2)).

Proof. We get 1) by easy computations.
2) Assume that f is unbounded. According to Lemmas 5.1, 5.3 and 5.5 we get the proof.
3) Assume that g is unbounded, then for $f = 0$ the pair (f, g) is a solution of equation (5.1). Afterward we suppose that $f \neq 0$. By using (5.1) and the following

decomposition

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2|g(z)|| \int_K f(xkyk^{-1})dk + \mu(y) \int_K f(xky^{-1}k^{-1})dk - 2f(x)g(y)| \\
= \; & | - 2g(z) \int_K f(xkyk^{-1})dk - 2g(z)\mu(y) \int_K f(xky^{-1}k^{-1})dk - 4g(z)f(x)g(y)| \\
\leq \; & | \int_K \int_K f(xkyk^{-1}hzh^{-1})dkdh + \mu(z) \int_K \int_K f(xkyk^{-1}hz^{-1}h^{-1})dkdh| \\
- \; & 2 \int_K f(xkyk^{-1})g(z)dk \\
+ \; & |\mu(y) \int_K \int_K f(xky^{-1}k^{-1}hzh^{-1})dkdh + \mu(y)\mu(z) \int_K \int_K f(xky^{-1}k^{-1}hz^{-1}h^{-1})dkdh \\
- \; & 2\mu(y) \int_K f(xky^{-1}k^{-1})g(z)dk| \\
+ \; & | \int_K \int_K f(xhykzk^{-1}h^{-1})dkdh + \mu(yz) \int_K \int_K f(xhkz^{-1}k^{-1}y^{-1}h^{-1})dkdh \\
- \; & 2f(x) \int_K g(ykzk^{-1})dk| \\
+ \; & |\mu(z) \int_K \int_K f(xhkyk^{-1}z^{-1}h^{-1})dkdh + \mu(z)\mu(yz^{-1}) \int_K \int_K f(xkzk^{-1}hy^{-1}h^{-1})dkdh \\
- \; & 2\mu(z) \int_K f(x)g(ykz^{-1}k^{-1})dk| \\
+ \; & |\mu(z) \int_K \int_K f(xhz^{-1}kyk^{-1}h^{-1})dkdh + \mu(z)\mu(z^{-1}y) \int_K \int_K f(xhky^{-1}k^{-1}zh^{-1})dkdh \\
- \; & 2\mu(z) \int_K f(x)g(z^{-1}kyk^{-1})dk| \\
+ \; & | \int_K \int_K f(xhzkyk^{-1}h^{-1})dkdh + \mu(zy) \int_K \int_K f(xhky^{-1}k^{-1}z^{-1}h^{-1})dkdh \\
- \; & 2f(x) \int_K g(zkyk^{-1})dk| \\
+ \; & |\mu(z) \int_K \int_K f(xhz^{-1}kyk^{-1}h^{-1})dkdh + \mu(z)\mu(y) \int_K \int_K f(xkz^{-1}k^{-1}hy^{-1}h^{-1})dkdh \\
- \; & 2\mu(z) \int_K f(xkz^{-1}k^{-1})g(y)dk| \\
+ \; & | \int_K \int_K f(xkzk^{-1}hyh^{-1})dkdh + \mu(y) \int_K \int_K f(xkzk^{-1}hy^{-1}h^{-1})dkdh \\
- \; & 2 \int_K f(xkzk^{-1})g(y)dk| \\
+ \; & 2|f(x)|| \int_K g(ykzk^{-1})dk + \mu(z) \int_K g(ykz^{-1}k^{-1})dk + \int_K g(zkyk^{-1})dk \\
+ \; & \mu(z) \int_K g(z^{-1}kyk^{-1})dk - 4g(y)g(z)| \\
+ \; & 2|g(y)|| \int_K f(xkzk^{-1})dk + \mu(z) \int_K f(xkz^{-1}k^{-1})dk - 2f(x)g(z)| \\
& \leq \delta + |\mu(y)|\delta + \delta + 2|\mu(z)|\delta + \delta + |\mu(z)|\delta + \delta + 2|f(x)| \times 0 + 2|g(y)|\delta \\
= \; & \delta(8 + 2|g(y)|).
\end{aligned}
$$

Since g is unbounded it follows that f, g satisfy the functional equation (1.1). According to Theorem 2.4 we get the remainder $\square$

As a consequence we get the superstability of the functional equations (1.2), (1.3), (1.4) and (1.7)

Corollary 5.7. *Let $\delta > 0$ be fixed, μ be a unitary character of G. Let $f : G \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that*

$$|\int_K f(xkyk^{-1})dk + \mu(y)\int_K f(xky^{-1}k^{-1})dk - 2f(x)f(y)| \leq \delta, \quad x, y \in G. \tag{5.4}$$

Then either f is bounded or f is a solution of the functional equation (1.2).

Let $f(kxh) = \chi(k)f(x)\chi(h)$, $k, h \in K$ and $x \in G$. Then we have the following corollary

Corollary 5.8. *Let $\delta > 0$ be fixed, μ be a bounded character of G. Let $f : G \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that*

$$|\int_K f(xky)\overline{\chi(k)}dk + \mu(y)\int_K f(xky^{-1})\overline{\chi(k)}dk - 2f(x)f(y)| \leq \delta, \quad x, y \in G. \tag{5.5}$$

Then either f is bounded or f is a solution of the functional equation (1.7).

In the next corollary we assume that $K \subset Z(G)$. Then we get

Corollary 5.9. *Let $\delta > 0$ be fixed, μ be a unitary character of G. Let $f : G \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that*

$$|f(xy) + \mu(y)f(xy^{-1}) - 2f(x)f(y)| \leq \delta, \quad x, y \in G. \tag{5.6}$$

Then either f is bounded or f is a solution of the functional equation (1.3).

References

[1] Akkouchi, M., Bakali, A., El Qorachi, E.: D'Alembert's functional equation on compact Gel'fand pairs. Maghreb Math. Rev. 8 (1999), no. 1-2.

[2] Aczl, J., Chung, J. K., Ng, Che Tat: Symmetric second differences in product form on groups. In: Th. M. Rassias (ed.), Topics in mathematical analysis, 1-22, Ser. Pure Math. 11, World Sci. Publ., Teaneck, NJ, 1989.

[3] Badora, R.: On a joint generalization of Cauchy's and d'Alembert's functional equations. Aequationes Math. 43 (1992), 72-89.

[4] Badora, R.: On Hyers-Ulam stability of Wilsons functional equation. Aequationes Math. 60 (2000), 211-218.

[5] Baker, J.A.: The stability of the cosine equation. Proc. Am. Math. Soc. 80 (1980), 411-416.

[6] Baker, J.A., Lawrence, J., Zorzitto, F.: The stability of the equation $f(x + y) = f(x)f(y)$. Proc. Am. Math. Soc. 74 (1979), 242-246.

[7] Bakali, A.; Bouikhalene, B.: On the generalized d'Alembert functional equation. Aequationes Math. 71 (2006), no. 3, 209-227.

[8] Bouikhalene, B.; Elqorachi, E.: On Stetkaer type functional equations and Hyers-Ulam stability. (English summary) Publ. Math. Debrecen 69 (2006), no. 1-2, 95-120.

[9] Bouikhalene, B.: On the generalized d'Alembert's and Wilson's functional equations on a compact group. Canad. Math. Bull. 48 (2005), no. 4, 505-522.

[10] Bouikhalene, B.; Kabbaj, S.: Gelfand pairs and generalized D'Alembert's and Cauchy's functional equations. Georgian Math. J. 12 (2005), no. 2, 207-216.

[11] Bouikhalene, B.: On the stability of a class of functional equations. JIPAM 4 no. 5 Article 104 (2003).

[12] Bouikhalene, B.; On the Hyers-Ulam stability of generalized Wilson's equation. JIPAM 5 no. 5 Article 100 (2004).

[13] Bouikhalene, B. and Elqorachi, E.: Stability of a generalization Wilson's equation, (2015). pp 1-9, Aequationes Math. DOI 10.1007/s00010-015-0356-0

[14] Bouikhalene, B. and Elqorachi, E.: Stability of the spherical functions, arXiv preprint arXiv:1404.4109, 2014 - arxiv.org. Georgian Math. J. (Accepted for publication).

[15] Chojnacki, W.: On some functional equation generalizing Cauchy's and d'Alembert's functional equations. Colloq. Math. 55 (1988), 169-178.
[16] Corovei, I., The cosine functional equation for nilpotent groups. Aequationes Math. 15 (1977), 99-106.
[17] Davison, T. M. K.: D'Alembert's functional equation on topological groups. Aequa- tiones Math. 76 (2008), 33-53.
[18] Davison, T. M. K.: D'Alembert's functional equation on topological monoids. Publ. Math. Debrecen 75 1/2 (2009), 41-66.
[19] Ebanks, B. R., Stetkær, H.: On Wilson's functional equations. Aequationes Math. 89 no. 2 (2015). 339-354. DOI 10.1007/s00010-014- 0287-1.
[20] Elqorachi, E.; Akkouchi, M.: On generalized d'Alembert and Wilson functional equations. Aequationes Math. 66 (2003), 241-256.
[21] Elqorachi, E.; Akkouchi, M.: Functional equations and Gelfand measures. Publ. Math. Debrecen 63 (2003), 643-666.
[22] Elqorachi, E., Akkouchi, M.: On Hyers-Ulam stability of Cauchy and Wilson equations. Georgian Math. J. 11(1) (2004), 69-82.
[23] Elqorachi, E.; Akkouchi, M.: On Cauchy-type functional equations. Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. 2004, no. 13-16, 847-859.
[24] Elqorachi, E.; Akkouchi, M; Bakali, A.; Bouikhalene, B.: Badora's Equation on non-Abelian Locally Compact Groups. Georgian Math. J. 11 (2004), no. 3, 449-466.
[25] Elqorachi, E.; Redouani, A.: Trigonometric formulas and μ-spherical functions. Aequationes Math. 72 (2006), no. 1-2, 60-77.
[26] Friis, Peter de Place, d'Alembert's and Wilson's functional equations on Lie groups. Aequationes Math. 67 (2004), 1225.
[27] Godement, R.: A theory of spherical functions I, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 73 (1952), 496-536.
[28] Kannappan, P.: The functional equation $f(xy) + f(xy^{-1}) = 2f(x)f(y)$ for groups. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 19 (1968), 69-74.
[29] Sinopoulos, P.: Functional equations on semigroups. Aequationes Math. 59 (2000), 255-261.
[30] Stetkær, H.: D'Alembert's functional equations on metabelian groups. Aequationes Math. 59 (2000), 306-320.
[31] Stetkær, H.: d'Alembert's and Wilson's functional equations on step 2 nilpotent groups. Aequationes Math. 67 (2004), no. 3, 241-262.
[32] Stetkær, H.: On a variant of Wilson's functional equation on groups. Aequationes Math. 68 (2004), no. 3, 160-176.
[33] Stetkær, H.: Functional equations and matrix-valued spherical functions. Aequationes Math. 69 (2005), no. 3, 271-292.
[34] Stetkær, H.: Properties of d'Alembert functions. Aequationes Math. 77 (2009), 281-301.
[35] Stetkær, H.: D'Alembert's functional equation on groups. Banach Center Publications, Volume XX, Institue of mathematics Polish Academy of sciences Warszwa 2014.
[36] Stetkær, H.: A link between Wilsons and dAlemberts functional equations. Aequationes Math. (2015). DOI 10.1007/s00010-015-0336-4.
[37] Szkelyhidi, L.: D'Alembert's functional equation on compact groups. Banach J. Math. Anal. 1 (2007), no. 2, 221-226.
[38] Yang, D.: Factorization of cosine functions on compact connected groups. Math. Z. 254 (2006), no. 4, 655-674.
[39] Yang, D.: Cosine functions revisited. Banach J. Math. Anal. 5 (2011), 126-130.
[40] Găvruta, P.: A generalization of the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of approximately additive mappings. J. Math. Anal. Appl., 184 (1994), 431-436.
[41] D. H. Hyers: On the stability of the linear functional equation, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 27 (1941), 222-224.
[42] D. H. Hyers, G. Isac and Th. M. Rassias: On the asymptoticity aspect of Hyers-Ulam stability of mappings, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 126 (1998), 425-430.
[43] D. H. Hyers, G. I. Isac and Th. M. Rassias: Stability of Functional Equations in Several Variables, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1998.
[44] D. H. Hyers and Th. M. Rassias: Approximate homomorphisms, Aequationes Math., 44 (1992), 125-153.
[45] S. M. Jung: Hyers-Ulam-Rassias Stability of Functional Equations in Nonlinear Analysis, Springer, New York, 2011.

[46] J.M. Rassias: On approximation of approximately linear mappings by linear mappings, J. Funct. Anal., 46 (1982), 126-130.
[47] Th. M. Rassias: On the stability of linear mapping in Banach spaces, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 72 (1978), 297-300.
[48] S. M. Ulam: A Collection of Mathematical Problems, Interscience Publ. New York, 1961. Problems in Modern Mathematics, Wiley, New York 1964.
[49] Yang, D.: Functional equations and Fourier analysis. Canad. Math. Bull. 56 (2013), no. 1, 218-224.

Belaid Bouikhalene
Departement of Mathematics and Informatics
Polydisciplinary Faculty, Sultan Moulay Slimane university, Beni Mellal, Morocco.
E-mail : bbouikhalene@yahoo.fr.

Elhoucien Elqorachi,
Department of Mathematics,
Faculty of Sciences, Ibn Zohr University, Agadir, Morocco,
E-mail: elqorachi@hotmail.com